


1993-2015 ITRC-METRIC ET_c for Kern County



Kern Groundwater Authority

July 2017

**IRRIGATION
TRAINING &
RESEARCH
CENTER**

Prepared by

Daniel J. Howes, Ph.D., P.E.
Irrigation Training & Research Center (ITRC)
California Polytechnic State University
San Luis Obispo, CA 93407-0730
805.756.2434
djhowes@calpoly.edu
www.itrc.org

Prepared for

Kern Groundwater Authority
c/o Eric Averett, General Manager
Rosedale-Rio Bravo WSD
P.O. Box 20820
Bakersfield, CA 93390-0820
Phone: 661-589-6045
eaverett@rrbwsd.com

Disclaimer:

Reference to any specific process, product or service by manufacturer, trade name, trademark or otherwise does not necessarily imply endorsement or recommendation of use by either California Polytechnic State University, the Irrigation Training & Research Center, or any other party mentioned in this document. No party makes any warranty, express or implied and assumes no legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any apparatus, product, process or data described previously. This report was prepared by ITRC as an account of work done to date. All designs and estimates are subject to final confirmation.

Irrigation Training & Research Center
July 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	1
ITRC- METRIC Procedures.....	2
Satellite Images.....	2
Weather Data	5
Corrected Spatial ETo.....	9
Calibration near Primary Weather Station.....	10
Elevation Data	10
Land Use Map.....	11
<i>Land Use Data 2007 to Present.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Land Use Data 1997 to 2006.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Land Use Data 1993-1996.....</i>	<i>13</i>
Interpolation between Image Dates	13
Summary of Results.....	14
Summary.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Attachment 1 Annual ITRC-METRIC ETc

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Aerial image of the area of interest within which actual evapotranspiration was provided to KGA.	1
Figure 2. Cloud free LandSAT image (left) and LandSAT image with clouds (right)	3
Figure 3. Locations of the CIMIS weather stations used in this evaluation.....	6
Figure 4. Example of solar adjustments made on Famoso CIMIS Station for 2010-2014. The same analysis was conducted for all weather stations in the Central Valley.	8
Figure 5. Example of uncorrected Spatial CIMIS ETo compared to corrected Spatial ETo for July 15, 2015.....	9
Figure 6. Famoso CIMIS station calibration area of interest (AOI)	10
Figure 7. Example original NASS land use (left) compared to corrected land use based on the majority crop type within each agricultural field (right). Each color identifies a different land use type (i.e., almonds, alfalfa, developed, etc.).....	11
Figure 8. County of Kern agricultural land use fields (left). Combined County of Kern and NASS land use image (right).....	12
Figure 9. 2008 ETo image with Valley Floor and field boundaries used for the summary analysis	14
Figure 10. Annual volume of ETc for the Kern County Valley floor and within fields in Kern County. Grass reference ETo and precipitation depths are shown for each year as a reference.	15
Figure 11. Annual crop coefficient (Kc) for the Kern County Valley floor and within fields in Kern County. Reported Ag Commissioner total harvested acres per year on the right axis of the graph.....	15
Figure 12. Crop acreage for major crops in Kern County from 1992-2015 (top) and total harvested acres (bottom) from Ag Commissioner Reports.....	16
Figure 13. Annual Kc by field sorted from lowest to highest for 4 different years	17
Figure 14. Annual ITRC METRIC ET in 1993 (top) and 2015 (bottom) with field boundaries	18

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Time frame of available images for LandSAT 5, 7, and 8.....	2
Table 2. Chosen image dates for 1993-2015 Kern County METRIC process	4
Table 3. Months with data estimated by the factor process	4
Table 4. Weather stations used for the METRIC modeling process.....	7

INTRODUCTION

The Irrigation Training & Research Center (ITRC) at California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo was contracted by the Kern Groundwater Authority (KGA) to compute actual evapotranspiration (ET_c) from the Southern San Joaquin Valley within and near the Kern Groundwater Basin. The area of interest is shown in Figure 1 with a “natural color” image in the background.

ITRC uses a modified Mapping of EvapoTranspiration with Internal Calibration (METRIC™) procedure to compute actual evapotranspiration using LandsAT Thematic Mapper (LandsAT) data. The original METRIC procedure was developed by Dr. Richard Allen (University of Idaho). ITRC has made a number of modifications to the original procedures including using a grass reference evapotranspiration instead of alfalfa, a semi-automated calibration procedure, spatially interpolated ETo, modifications to the aerodynamic resistance and albedo computations for certain crops, improved open water evaporation algorithm, etc.



Figure 1. Aerial image of the area of interest within which actual evapotranspiration was provided to KGA

This report will describe the general process and some results of the modeling over the timeframe. The monthly and annual results of ITRC-METRIC for this project have been transmitted to KGA (care of Eric Averett, General Manager, Rosedale-Rio Bravo WSD).

ITRC- METRIC PROCEDURES

This *Procedures* section will discuss the information that was gathered and used to compute the actual crop evapotranspiration (ET) in the Delta. The ITRC-METRIC process is based on a surface energy balance and includes corrections for aerodynamic resistance. It depends upon both accurate and frequent LandSAT satellite thermal images and understanding of the cropping systems within a region. The METRIC programs have gradually evolved from research in the US and other countries with the objective of being able to directly estimate actual ET over large areas with limited data availability (such as crop type, irrigation method, irrigation practices, etc.). The image processing is relatively fast; however, the collection of significant background data (besides the satellite images) that are necessary to start the processing in a new area can be somewhat time-consuming. Proper use of METRIC also requires expert input/interpretation by those who run the program.

LandSAT 5, 7, and 8 image pixel resolution is 30 meters by 30 meters for all but the thermal band. The thermal band pixel resolution is 120 meters by 120 meters for LandSAT 5, 60 meters by 60 meters for LandSAT 7, and 100 meters by 100 meters for LandSAT 8. For this project, the thermal band was sharpened to 30 meter by 30 meter resolution using the nominal cubic spline that is provided in the raw images by USGS. ITRC has a more advanced thermal sharpening process, but that was not used because of time and budget constraints for this project. Inputs into the ITRC-METRIC model included:

- LandSAT imagery
- Digital elevation maps
- NASS CropScape data
- Corrected weather station data (hourly and daily)
- Corrected spatial grass reference evapotranspiration (ET_o) maps (daily)
- Spreadsheet calculated values
- Tabulated constants

Satellite Images

LandSAT 5, LandSAT 7, and LandSAT 8 images available from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) on sixteen-day intervals were used for the METRIC process. Table 1 shows the time frame of available images from each satellite.

Table 1. Time frame of available images for LandSAT 5, 7, and 8

LandSAT 5	LandSAT 7**	LandSAT 8
November 1982 – October 2011	June 1999 – Present	April 2013 – Present

***After May 2003, LandSAT 7 began producing images with missing data, or “bandgaps” because of a defective sensor/mirror. LandSAT 7 is only used as a backup if other LandSAT data is missing. Bandgaps are filled using interpolation techniques in GIS as described in the METRIC Application Manual Version 2.0.7 (Allen et al. 2010)*

The area of interest is covered by the LandSAT image path 42, rows 35 and 36. Each path identifies a path, or single trip the LandSAT takes, and the rows are different portions of that path. The rows along the same path are taken on the same day and the center of the row image is taken at approximately the same time of the day (approximately 11 a.m. Pacific Standard Time).

The METRIC modeling process relies on surface temperature data from the LandSAT thermal band. Actual ET_c cannot be computed for the regions covered by clouds or fog. Figure 2 compares a non-clouded image with a cloud-covered LandSAT image. The best quality (minimal clouds and fog) LandSAT images were selected for processing. Every LandSAT image available throughout the study period was evaluated manually.




Figure 2. Cloud free LandSAT image (left) and LandSAT image with clouds (right)

All relatively cloud-free available images were used for the modeling process. Table 2 lists the images processed from late 1992 through early 2016. A total of 234 images were used to cover the study period.

If a cloud-free image was not available during a month, the image with the fewest clouds was selected or Landsat 7 imagery was used. If an image with clouds had to be used, the clouds were masked out of the results and replaced with interpolated results from images processed before and after the image date. For the cloud masking interpolation, the two previous and three subsequent processed images were used to estimate the actual crop coefficient for the cloudy region.

Some months (generally during winter) had no usable images because of significant cloud cover. Available images, before and after the month with no data, were selected to be used to interpolate the missing image.

For those cases when three or more consecutive months did not have usable images, the closest available image was used in combination with a correction factor, to get an average estimated K_c map for the missing month. Those correction factors were established based on data from years with usable winter images. Because this process was used only for winter months, which have low ET, the overall accuracy should not be influenced significantly. However, users should understand that the uncertainty of the data for these months is greater than if Landsat images were available. The months when this process was used can be seen in Table 3.

Table 2. Chosen image dates for 1993-2015 Kern County METRIC process

1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
10/1/1992	2/25/1994	4/1/1995	4/3/1996	3/5/1997	3/8/1998	1/22/1999	2/2/2000*	1/3/2001*	2/7/2002*	3/6/2003
12/20/1992	3/13/1994	5/3/1995	5/21/1996	4/6/1997	4/9/1998	2/23/1999	3/21/2000*	2/4/2001*	3/3/2002	4/7/2003
3/10/1993	6/1/1994	6/3/1995	6/22/1996	5/8/1997	5/27/1998	3/27/1999	4/30/2000	3/24/200*	4/12/2002*	6/10/2003
4/27/1993	6/17/1994	7/6/1995	7/8/1996	6/9/1997	6/28/1998	5/14/1999	5/24/2000	4/17/2001	5/14/2002*	7/12/2003
5/29/1993	7/3/1994	7/22/1995	7/24/1996	7/11/1997	7/14/1998	6/15/1999	6/17/2000	5/11/2001*	6/15/2002	8/13/2003
6/30/1993	8/4/1994	8/7/1995	8/9/1996	7/27/1997	7/30/1998	7/17/1999	7/3/2000	6/20/2001	7/9/2002	8/29/2003
7/16/1993	9/5/1994	9/8/1995	9/10/1996	8/28/1997	8/31/1998	7/25/1999*	7/19/2000	7/14/2001*	7/25/2002	9/14/2003
8/1/1993	9/21/1993	10/10/1995	9/26/1996	9/29/1997	9/16/1998	8/2/1999	8/12/2000*	7/30/2001*	8/18/2002*	10/16/2003
8/17/1993	10/23/1993	11/11/1995	11/29/1996	10/15/1997	10/18/1998	9/3/1999	9/29/2000*	8/23/2001	9/19/2002*	11/25/2003*
9/2/1993					11/19/1998	10/5/1999	10/7/2000	9/16/2001*	10/21/2002*	
10/20/1993					12/5/1998	11/22/1999	11/16/2000*	10/18/2016*	12/8/2002*	
11/5/1993						12/24/1999	12/26/2000	11/3/2001*		
								12/13/2001		

2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2014	2015
3/16/2004*	1/30/2005*	1/25/2006	1/12/2007	2/16/2008	1/17/2009	2/13/2010*	2/8/2011	12/14/2013**	1/2/2015**
4/9/2004	4/12/2005	2/10/2006	2/21/2007*	3/19/2008	2/2/2009	4/26/2010	3/4/2011*	12/30/2013**	2/27/2015*
5/11/2004	5/14/2005	4/7/2006*	3/17/2007	4/20/2008	3/30/2009*	5/12/2010	4/29/2011	1/15/2014**	3/7/2015**
6/12/2004	6/15/2005	5/7/2006*	4/10/2007*	5/30/2008*	4/23/2009	6/29/2010	5/7/2011*	2/24/2014*	4/16/2015*
7/14/2004	7/1/2005	5/17/2006	5/20/2007	6/23/2008	5/25/2009	7/15/2010	6/16/2011	4/13/2014*	5/10/2015**
7/30/2004	7/17/2005	6/18/2006	6/21/2007	7/25/2008	6/26/2009	7/31/2010	7/2/2011	4/29/2014*	6/11/2015**
8/31/2004	8/18/2005	7/20/2006	7/7/2007	8/10/2008	7/12/2009	8/16/2010	8/3/2011	5/23/2014**	7/13/2015**
9/16/2004	9/19/2005	8/5/2006	8/8/2007	8/26/2008	7/28/2009	9/17/2010	9/4/2011	6/24/2014**	7/29/2015**
10/2/2004	10/5/2005	8/21/2006	8/24/2007	9/27/2008	8/29/2009	10/3/2010	10/22/2011	7/10/2014**	8/14/2015**
	11/14/2005*	9/22/2006	9/25/2007	10/13/2008	9/30/2009	11/12/2010*	11/15/2011*	8/27/2014**	9/23/2015*
		10/8/2006	10/19/2007*	11/14/2008	10/24/2009*	12/6/2010	12/1/2011*	9/12/2014**	10/09/2015*
		11/9/2006	11/4/2007*		11/17/2009		1/18/2012*	10/14/2014**	11/18/2015**
		12/19/2006*			12/3/2009		2/3/2012*	11/7/2014*	2/6/2016**

Note: * indicates LandsAT 7, ** indicates LandsAT 8, and no asterisk indicates LandsAT 5 images

Table 3. Months with data estimated by the factor process

1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	2003	2004
November December	January February March December	January February March December	January February November December	January February	December	January February

Weather Data

ITRC-METRIC utilizes daily spatially varied grass reference ETo for interpolation between image dates. SpatialCIMIS is a product provided by the California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) maintained by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR). Spatially varied ETo is developed by interpolating ETo between CIMIS weather stations, which measure and compute the ETo on an hourly basis. However, the collected data could have errors. Therefore, ITRC quality controls the hourly weather data at each weather station in the Central Valley (Redding to south of Bakersfield) and corrects the daily Spatial CIMIS data.

ITRC-METRIC also relies on hourly weather data from a station within the area of interest for processing the instantaneous images (prior to interpolation). The Shafter and Famosa CIMIS stations were utilized as these “primary” weather stations. These stations were selected because of their centralized locations within the primary area of interest. Shafter was used from 1992-1997 and Famoso was used from 1998-2015. The same quality control procedure was used at all weather stations as will be described.

Hourly weather data for the project time frame was collected from CIMIS weather stations located throughout the project area. Forty-nine weather stations were used for the METRIC modeling process. Figure 3 shows the majority of weather stations used in this project. Not all stations were available during the entire analysis period. Each station is listed in Table 4 showing the approximate range of time that the station was utilized. A station may have become active or inactive within this timeframe.

The weather component data collected from the weather stations included:

1. Solar radiation (W/m^2)
2. Vapor pressure (kPa)
3. Air temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
4. Wind speed (m/s)
5. Precipitation (mm)
6. Relative humidity (%)
7. Dew point temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
8. PM ETo (mm)



Figure 3. Locations of the CIMIS weather stations used in this evaluation

Table 4. Weather stations used for the METRIC modeling process

1993-2004 CIMIS Station	2005-2015 CIMIS Station
Arvin-Edison	Alpaugh
Auburn	Arvin-Edison
Belridge	Auburn
Blackwells Corner	Belridge
Brentwood	Blackwells Corner
Browns Valley	Brentwood
Bryte	Browns Valley
Colusa	Bryte
Davis	Colusa
Dixon	Davis
Durham	Delano
Esparto	Denair II
Fair Oaks	Dixon
Famoso	Durham
Firebaugh-Telles	Esparto
FivePoints	Fair Oaks
FresnoState	Famoso*
Gerber	Firebaugh
Gerber South	Five Points
Hastings Tract East	Five Points SW
Kesterson	Fresno State
Kettleman	Gerber
Lindcove	Gerber South
Los Banos	Hastings Tract East
Madera	Kesterson
Manteca	Kettleman
Merced	Lindcove
Modesto	Lodi West
Orange Cove	Los Banos
Panoche	Madera
Parlier	Madera II
Shafter*	Manteca
Shasta College	Merced
Stratford	Modesto
Twitchell Island	Oakdale
Verona	Orange Cove
Westlands	Panoche
Winters	Parlier
Woodland	Patterson
	Porterville
	Shafter
	Shasta College
	Stratford
	Tracy
	Twitchell Island
	Verona
	Westlands
	Winters
	Woodland

* "Primary" stations

All collected **hourly** weather data from the stations went through a quality control check and correction procedure. A detailed procedure on the quality control conducted can be found in FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56¹ along with correction procedures. The main variable needing correction to accurately compute the hourly ETo is solar radiation. However, relative humidity was also examined using the procedures described in Allen et al. (1998). Figure 4 contains a graph of the corrected solar radiation for the Famoso CIMIS station for 2010-2014. This weather parameter is often in error if a pyranometer becomes covered with dust or debris, or if it loses calibration. This can be identified by comparing the daily incoming solar radiation with the maximum potential solar radiation (computed based on elevation, latitude, and time of year). If the measured value does not approach or become equal to the maximum potential over a time frame of several weeks, this could indicate an error in the measurement. Day-to-day variability is expected, but during a clear day, the measured should approach the potential. High values of solar radiation can be caused by incorrect sensor calibration.




Figure 4. Example of solar adjustments made on Famoso CIMIS Station for 2010-2014. The same analysis was conducted for all weather stations in the Central Valley.

For missing data, or if an error was flagged on the CIMIS station signifying missing, incomplete, or odd data results, data were examined for general consistency. Missing data and data believed to be in error were corrected. The correction procedure used in this analysis replaced the missing or flawed data with the averages from nearby weather stations. Once all hourly data was corrected, the data was input into REF-ETTM (Dr. Richard Allen, University of Idaho) to compute the corrected hourly ASCE Standardized ETo that was used in this study.

ETo and individual weather data are used within the ITRC-METRIC process to compute inputs into the software. METRIC computes the instantaneous ET_c for every pixel within the LandSAT image at the

¹ Allen, R.G.; Pereira, L.S.; Raes, D. & Smith, M. (1998). Crop evapotranspiration – Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper, No. 56, FAO, Rome

instant the image is taken. Knowing the ETo at that instant from the local weather station, a **crop coefficient (Kc)** can be computed ($Kc = ETc/ETo$). It has been shown that this instantaneous actual Kc at the time of image acquisition (approximately 11 a.m.) is a very good representation of the Kc for that entire day. These instantaneous Kc results are interpolated using a cubic spline procedure between image dates. The interpolated pixel Kc for each day is then multiplied by the daily corrected spatial ETo discussed in the next section.

Corrected Spatial ETo

Spatial CIMIS ETo is a relatively new resource available through the DWR. A specialized algorithm uses weather station data, elevations and other inputs to interpolate ETo between stations. However, Spatial CIMIS ETo rasters rely on CIMIS weather data that could have errors. In order to improve accuracy, ITRC incorporated the corrected CIMIS weather data into the Spatial CIMIS ETo raster images using a model we developed for ArcGIS 10.1.

The basic correction procedure first included adding the locations of all 49 stations into GIS. The uncorrected Spatial ETo at the weather station location was extracted for each day over the time frame investigated. The difference between the corrected daily ETo for each station and the uncorrected Spatial ETo was computed. These differences were used to generate a difference raster using Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) interpolation. The difference raster was combined with the uncorrected Spatial ETo to generate the corrected Spatial ETo image.

Figure 5 shows a comparison of the uncorrected Spatial CIMIS ETo and the corrected Spatial ETo for July 15, 2015. The corrected Spatial ETo represents the combination of our corrected ETo data blended with the original Spatial CIMIS ETo.




Figure 5. Example of uncorrected Spatial CIMIS ETo compared to corrected Spatial ETo for July 15, 2015

Calibration near Primary Weather Station

The METRIC process requires calibration of the hot and cold pixel for each image processed. The calibration should be conducted near a primary weather station within the image. Therefore, a primary weather station was selected for each image path. The stations selected (Shafter (1993-1997) and Famoso (1998-2015)) were chosen on the basis of the stations' history of reliable, relatively error-free data. Other reasons for choosing primary stations included:

- The location within intensive agricultural areas.
- Relatively representative of weather throughout the agricultural regions in the path.

Shafter was used as a primary station for the years 1993 through 1997. Famoso was used as a primary station for the remainder of the study period.

For the semi-automated calibration process, an area of interest (AOI) is created around the primary weather station. This AOI is generally within a 5 to 10 mile radius of the primary station and urban areas, or large non-agricultural areas are avoided. Figure 6 shows the calibration AOI for the Famoso CIMIS station.



Figure 6. Famoso CIMIS station calibration area of interest (AOI)

Elevation Data

A Digital Elevation Model (DEM) obtained from the USGS was used to adjust the model outputs based on the surface elevation throughout the area of interest. The DEM used had a resolution of 10m (1/3 arc second) which was then re-projected into a 30m × 30m pixel size to match the resolution of the LandSAT images.

Land Use Map

Land Use Data 2007 to Present

For years 2007 to present, only the land use from the NASS annual rasters were used. While this information is sufficient for METRIC, there are issues with consistency within fields. A land use survey was conducted by the California DWR on a field-by-field basis for all of the counties located in the Central Valley. DWR land use survey shapefiles were downloaded for each county, some of which may have last been surveyed in the 1990's. The shapefiles contain field boundaries or in some cases boundaries of similar crops that cover multiple fields. All non-agricultural areas in the DWR land use surveys were removed from the shapefile. Using the zonal statistics tool in ArcGIS, the NASS land use was summarized for each DWR agricultural field boundary in the Central Valley. The crop that made up the majority of the field area was assumed to cover the entire field area.

The final corrected land use maps went through a quality control check to ensure that a single land use value was uniform across an entire field. Figure 7 shows an example of the original uncorrected NASS land use compared to the land use used in this analysis, which is much more consistent. The inconsistent “pixelated” areas in the corrected land use were identified as non-cropped areas in the DWR land use survey. Therefore, these non-ag areas use the original NASS data.



Figure 7. Example original NASS land use (left) compared to corrected land use based on the majority crop type within each agricultural field (right). Each color identifies a different land use type (i.e., almonds, alfalfa, developed, etc.)

Land Use Data 1997 to 2006

The earliest NASS land use raster available for California is from 2007. The County of Kern Agriculture and Measurement Standards provides land use shapefiles only for agricultural fields in the county from 1997 to present. The shapefiles did not provide land use data outside of the agricultural fields. Therefore, information from the last available NASS land use raster (2007) was used to fill in the missing background. The following process was used to combine the two sources to create land use maps for 1997 through 2006:

1. The crop data for each individual field from the Kern County data was converted to a specific value to match the crop identification value used by NASS. For example, a field containing alfalfa in the Kern County data was converted to the NASS crop value of 16.
2. The Kern County shapefile, with the added NASS crop value, was then converted to a raster image to represent the crop value.
3. The DWR survey shapefile was used to quality control the 2007 NASS land use raster so that the raster values within the field boundaries were all uniform.
4. The new Kern County raster was then mosaicked with the corrected 2007 NASS raster. The land use values from the Kern County raster had top priority over the 2007 NASS values and therefore were utilized in the final land use raster. Then 2007 NASS values were used in the non-agricultural areas as well as the background portion of the image.




Figure 8. County of Kern agricultural land use fields (left). Combined County of Kern and NASS land use image (right)

Land Use Data 1993-1996

No land use data was available prior to 1997. Therefore, the final quality controlled 1997 land map was used for 1993 through 1996.

Interpolation between Image Dates

The selected images were processed, resulting in instantaneous actual crop coefficients (Actual Kc) on those dates for each pixel. The crop coefficient has been shown to remain constant during the majority of the daylight hours. Therefore, the instantaneous actual Kc was used as a surrogate for the daily actual Kc. In order to estimate the actual ETc between dates that images are available, actual Kc's are interpolated between image dates. A modified cubic spline approach is used to examine images within the month to be computed, prior to that month, and after that month. For example, to interpolate the ETc in the month of July, the July image(s) would be used along with May and June, and August and September. Cubic spline interpolation provides a smooth, non-linear interpolation between image dates. The interpolation takes place for every pixel in the image and the results are temporary Kc images for every day in the month. The daily pixel actual Kc values are then multiplied by the daily corrected Spatial ETo previously discussed to compute the daily actual ETc for each pixel. These daily ETc images are summed together for each month. Finally, the corrected Spatial ETo is summed for each month and the monthly ETc is divided by the ETo to generate the final monthly Kc image.

Monthly actual Kc and actual ETc results for Kern County for the period 1993-2015 have been provided to the Kern Groundwater Authority in GIS raster (image) format.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The annual results have been summarized for the Kern County Valley floor and the field boundaries (majority) within the Valley floor of Kern County. Figure 9 shows the boundaries used for the data extraction for the summaries discussed in this section. Average annual ITRC-METRIC ETc was extracted using the Zonal Statistics tool in ArcGIS. The average ETc from the extracted area was multiplied by the area within the boundaries (overall boundary or each field boundary for the fields) to compute volumes. Over the 23 year period, the same field boundaries and overall boundary were the same.




Figure 9. 2008 ETc image with Valley Floor and field boundaries used for the summary analysis

The volume of actual ETc for the overall area and only within fields is shown in Figure 10. For reference, the grass reference evapotranspiration (ETo) and precipitation from the Shafter CIMIS station (1992-1997) and Famosa CIMIS (1998-2015) is also shown. ETo provides an idea of the weather conditions that drive evapotranspiration. Hotter, drier years have a higher ETo.

In general, there is an overall decline in ETc volume from the Valley floor starting over the 23 years that the ET analysis covers. The field ETc decline is not as significant but does trend downward. The difference between the Valley floor and field ETc is ET and evaporation occurring outside of field boundaries. Year to year variability in ETc volume might be explained by weather differences from year

to year. To examine this, the annual crop coefficient (K_c) computed as the actual ET_c divided by ET_o is shown in Figure 11. The total harvested acreage is shown by year as reported by the Kern County Ag Commissioner.




Figure 10. Annual volume of ET_c for the Kern County Valley floor and within fields in Kern County. Grass reference ET_o and precipitation depths are shown for each year as a reference.




Figure 11. Annual crop coefficient (K_c) for the Kern County Valley floor and within fields in Kern County. Reported Ag Commissioner total harvested acres per year on the right axis of the graph.

As expected, the Kc is higher when only looking within field boundaries compared to the entire Valley floor of Kern County. Area outside of the fields is in large part reliant on precipitation. However, urban areas and open water are also included. As with the volume, there seems to be a general decline in overall Kc over the 23 years. In the mid-2000's the Kc increases. Interestingly, the Ag Commissioners' total harvested acreage increases from 1993 to 2015. While there are some general trends indicating that the annual Kc increases as the acreage increases, the trends do not follow as closely as one might expect.

To attempt to explain these variations, crop acreages of the major crops in Kern County (Kern County Agricultural Commissioner Reports) is shown in Figure 12. The higher ETc and Kc values in the mid-2000's is likely due to the increase in alfalfa acreage during this period in combination with the higher almond acreage. However, the higher ETc and Kc values in the 1990's is more challenging to explain. Obviously there is more cotton acreage and like more double cropping of different row crops (although cotton is not commonly double cropped). Cotton rotations may include double cropping of other row/field crops like corn and grain hay (not shown).




Figure 12. Crop acreage for major crops in Kern County from 1992-2015 (top) and total harvested acres (bottom) from Ag Commissioner Reports

As previously discussed, the Kern County Ag Commissioner reports showed an overall increase in harvested acreage from 1993 to 2015. The Ag Commissioner reports showed the 1993 total harvested acres at approximately 809,700 compared to the 2015 harvested acreage of 881,000. Year-to-year variations are shown in Figure 11.

There are also some unexplainable anomalies in the Ag Commissioner data, such as the increase in almond acreage from 2013 to 2014. Figure 12 shows that total acres (bearing and non-bearing) for almonds increased by over 50,000 acres from 2013-2014. The bearing acreage showed the most significant increase from 2013 to 2014 even though only 1,600 acres of non-bearing trees were reported for 2013. The bottom line is that over 50,000 acres of bearing almonds showed up in 2014 without explanation.

The annual Kc by field in Kern County from ITRC-METRIC was plotted from lowest to highest Kc for four selected years (Figure 13). The fields with the lowest Kc would be fallow or young orchards/vineyards. Notice that there are more fields with Kc values below 0.2 in 2008 and 2015 than in 1993 or 1996. Of these, 1996 has the fewest low Kc fields while 2015 has the most. Different fields have different Kc values each of these years. The key point is that the lower Kc values in 2014 and 2015 (Figure 11) are likely driven down by increased fallowing or young orchards. The 50,000 acre increase in almond acreage in 2014 cannot be explained. Additionally, Figure 13 indicates that the overall field acreage is probably lower in 2015 than in 1993. While field acreage is not the same as harvested acreage because it does not account for double-cropping, it is unlikely that double cropping accounts for the full difference in reported acreage.




Figure 13. Annual Kc by field sorted from lowest to highest for four different years

Visually, significantly more non-cropped fields can be seen in 2015 than in 1993 (Figure 14). Portions of Lost Hills Water District and Buena Vista WSD show much lower ET in 2015 than 1993. These areas were fallowed or not cropped during the drought. In other areas, new permanent crop plantings may be the cause of lower ET. Additionally, the Kern Lake and areas south of Bakersfield have much lower ET values.




Figure 14. Annual ITRC METRIC ET in 1993 (top) and 2015 (bottom) with field boundaries

Conclusion

Over the 1993-2015 period, the volume of evapotranspiration from fields within the valley floor of Kern County ranged from approximately 2-2.5 million acre-feet. Evapotranspiration varies year to year in the valley floor portion of Kern County. This is caused by several factors including weather, crop mix, water availability, precipitation, and land fallowing. It was beyond the scope to investigate exactly why evapotranspiration varied. However, the previous figures indicate that there seems to be increased fallowing or young orchards and vineyards planted in more recent years, resulting in lower evapotranspiration in this period. This acreage reduction does not coincide with Kern County Ag Commissioner reported harvest acreage changes over the period.

The monthly and annual evapotranspiration and Kc imagery in GIS format has been transmitted to Kern Groundwater Authority.

Attachment 1:
ANNUAL ITRC-METRIC ETC

